Wikipedia case study

# Task 1

# 24 hours after you submit your ideas to [Wikipedia](https://www.wikipedia.org/), go back to the topic you edited/created and visit your entry. Then copy and paste the following here:

# The **name** of the entry you edited/created

# The **URL** of the entry you edited/created

Elon Musk

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Talk:Elon\_Musk#Semi-protected\_edit\_request\_on\_4\_December\_2021

# Task 2

# Why did you decide to make an entry about this topic?

# Please do not exceed 300 words for this task.

Because they had a critical fact wrong for a long time.

# Task 3

# What happened to the content you contributed?

# Please do not exceed 300 words for this task.

It has not yet been changes, accepted, or rejected.

# Task 4

# What did you learn about User-Generated Content from this exercise?

# Please do not exceed 400 words for this task.

In this case, I edited a “semi-protected” page, which means only established, registered users can edit the post. Therefore, I learned:

1. UGC is easy to edit, especially on Wikipedia,
2. In Wikipedia’s case, it is a collection of information from many different users working together.
3. It appears more authentic than if a group of “experts” from Wikipedia wrote it.
4. It is more engaging, since I try to spot the mistakes and then correct it if I can, instead of just reading it.